

THE EFFECTIVE SCHOOL

Ivan P. Ivanov

ABSTRACT: *In the report the analysis of research is made at the end of the twentieth century in the field of school efficiency. The experience of creation model of efficiency as set of indicators is made.*

KEYWORDS: *Effective School, Quality of Education, Correlates Of Effective Schools, Good Schools, Dimensions Of Effective School*

60-
(Coleman, Jencks, Rutter, Maughan, Mortimore, Ouston). [11]
80- 90-
[7].
(- , -).
,
[13].
(EFFECTIVE SCHOOL).
, - : ,
()
()
[13].
) (-) (.)
)
,
.

Weber (1971); Rock (1988); Smith and Purkey; Griswold (1989); Taylor (1990); Wiebe (1991); Fuller, Clarke (1994); Heneveld (1994); Nevelle (1994); Moran (1995); Reynolds (1996); Scieszka (1996); Edmonds (1996); Johnson (1996); Lezotte (1997); Creemers (1997); Grobler, Bisschoff, Moloi (2002); Van der Walt (2004).

- (1).
- (2).

- (3).
- (4).
- (5). () ().
- (6).
- (7).
- (8).
- (9).
- (10). ().
- (11).
- (12).
- (13).
- (14). ().
- (15).
- (16).
- (17). [2; 4; 5; 6; 9; 10; 12;

13]

: Roe, Drake (1980); Van Der Burg (1987);
 Martinez, Thomas, Kemerer (1994); Nevelle (1994); Bushweller (1996); Griffith (1996); Mink
 (1996); Herrera (1996); Lopez, Schultz (1996); Myhan (1996); Mills (1997); Solo (1997);
 Grandmont (1997).

:

- (1).
- (2).
- (3).
- (4).
- (5).
- (6).
- (7).
- (8).
- (9).
- (10).
- (11).
- (12).
- (13). [6]

(National School Board Association) 1995

:

- (1).
- (2).
- (3).
- (4).
- (5).
- (6).
- (7).
- (8).
- (9).
- (10). [6]

:

- (1).

- (2).
- (3).
- (4).
- (5).
- (6).
- (7).
- (8).
- (9).
- (10).

[2]

[10]. (NAES) (« »),

[1; 8]

(Ronald

Edmonds, John Frederickson) 1979 [2;4;10]:

- (1).
- (2).
- (3).
- (4).
- (5).
- (6).
- (7).

. Bosker Scheerens (1999)

[14]


(Scheerens, 2000)

[9]

(improvement) (effectiveness)

” ” ” ”

[3]



1.

1.1.

•

•

1.2.

•

•

•

•

1.3.

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

1.4.

•

•

1.5.

1.6.

2.

2.1.

2.2.

2.3.

2.4.

()

3.

3.1.

3.2.

3.3.

()

(),

3.4.

3.5.

3.6.

3.7.

5.

80-85 %,

1. Adams, D., D. Chapman. The Quality of Education: Dimensions and Strategies. 2002.
2. Correlates Of Effective Schools. <www.mes.org/correlates.html>
3. Creemers, Bert P. M., Gerry J. Reezigt. Linking School Effectiveness and School Improvement: The background and outline of the project. // School Effectiveness and School Improvement, Vol. 16, No. 4, December 2005, pp. 359 – 371
4. Effective Schools Correlates. <effectiveschools.education.ucsb.edu/correlates.html>
5. Grobler, b. R. , T. C. Bisschoff & K. C. Moloji. The Chaid-Technique and the Relationship between School Effectiveness and Various Independent Variables. // ISEA, Volume 30, Number 3, 2002.
6. Johnson, J., Livingston, M., Schwartz, R., Slate, J. What Makes a Good Elementary School? A Critical Examination. // Journal of Educational Research, Jul/Aug2000, Vol. 93, Issue 6
7. Luyten, Hans, Adrie Visscher, Bob Witziers. School Effectiveness Research: From a review of the criticism to recommendations for further development. // School Effectiveness and School Improvement, Vol. 16, No. 3, September 2005, pp. 249 – 279
8. Sammons, P., J. Hilman, M. Pstoll. What makes an effective school, - London, 1995.
9. Scheerens, Jaap. Monitoring School Effectiveness in Developing Countries. School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 2001, Vol. 12, No. 4, pp. 359±384
10. Taylor, Barbara O.,The Effective Schools Process: Alive and Well. // Phi Delta Kappan, Jan2002, Vol. 83, Issue 5

11. Thomas, Sally. Dimensions of Secondary School Effectiveness: Comparative Analyses Across Regions. // *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 2001, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 285±322
12. Ten Principles of Effective School Design. <newvisions.org/schools/downloads/10principleslong.pdf>
13. Van der Walt, Johannes L. et al. Philosophical-Pedagogical Criteria For Assessing The Effectiveness Of A Christian School. // *Journal of Research on Christian Education*, Fall 2004, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 167-198